

This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations
and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

**As rescanning documents *will not* correct images,
please do not report the images to the
Image Problem Mailbox.**



DO NOT Enter After Final
6/22/04 N/A

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: R.D. Thompson Attorney Docket No.: MSFT115609
Application No.: 09/672,675 Group Art Unit: 2175
Filed: September 28, 2000 Examiner: N. Abel-Jalil
Title: SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR IMPLEMENTING
A CONDITIONAL PAYLOAD SERVER

RECEIVED

MAY 20 2004

Technology Center 2100

AMENDMENT

Seattle, Washington 98101

May 14, 2004


TO THE COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS:

In response to the Examiner's Office Action dated March 19, 2004, applicant submits the following amendments and remarks.

05/18/2004 MGE BREM1 00000016 09672675

01 FC:1201

172.00 OP



Corres. and Mail
BOX AF

MAIL STOP AF
RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116
EXPEDITED PROCEDURE
EXAMINING GROUP 2100

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: R.D. Thompson Attorney Docket No. MSFT115609
Application No.: 09/672,675 Group Art Unit: 2175
Filed: September 28, 2000 Examiner: N. Abel-Jalil
Title: SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR IMPLEMENTING
A CONDITIONAL PAYLOAD SERVER

RECEIVED

MAY 20 2004

TRANSMITTAL LETTER FOR RESPONSE
AFTER FINAL REJECTION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.116

Technology Center 2100

Seattle, Washington 98101

May 14, 2004

TO THE COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS:

A. Amendment Transmittal

Transmitted herewith is an amendment in the above-identified application.

- _____ 1. No additional claim fee is required, as shown below.
 X 2. The claim fee has been calculated as shown below.

COMPUTATION OF FEE FOR CLAIMS AS AMENDED

	Claims Remaining After Amendment		Highest Number Previously Paid For		Present Extra		Rate		Additional Fee
Total Claims	38	Minus	62	=	0	x	18	=	0.00
Independent Claims	5	Minus	3	=	2	x	86	=	172.00

Total Additional Fee \$172.00
for this Amendment

LAW OFFICES OF
CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESS^{PLLC}
1420 Fifth Avenue
Suite 2800
Seattle, Washington 98101
206.682.8100

X 3. Check No. 155531 in the amount of \$172 is attached.

B. Additional Fee Charges or Credit for Overpayment

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fees under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16, 1.17 and 1.18 which may be required during the entire pendency of the application, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Account No. 03-1740. This authorization also hereby includes a request for any extensions of time of the appropriate length required upon the filing of any reply during the entire prosecution of this application. A copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR
JOHNSON KINDNESS^{PLLC}



Clint J. Feekes


Registration No. 51,670

Direct Dial No. 206.695.1633

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service in a sealed envelope as first class mail with postage thereon fully prepaid and addressed to Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on the below date.

Date:

5/14/04



CJF:nfs

AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS

1. (Original) A method in the computer system for correlating a subset of attributes to one or more payloads, the method comprising:

obtaining a request for payload corresponding to a subset of client attributes;

obtaining one or more payloads, wherein each payload defines a condition statement for delivering the payload;

correlating the condition statement into a catalog, wherein the catalog includes an attribute list, an evaluator list, a value list and a payload list;

traversing the catalog to determine one or more payloads corresponding to the subset of client attributes; and

returning the one or more payloads.

2. (Original) The method as recited in Claim 1, wherein the step of correlating the condition statement into a catalog includes:

generating an expression tree corresponding to the condition statement;

mapping the expression tree into an evaluation tree; and

mapping the evaluation tree into the catalog.

3. (Original) The method as recited in Claim 2 further comprising optimizing the expression tree prior to mapping the expression tree into an evaluation tree.

4. (Original) The method as recited in Claim 3, wherein the step of optimizing the expression tree includes:

organizing the expression tree such that an attribute evaluator value expression is a leaf node and a connector is a tree node;

scoring any tree nodes, wherein a disjunctive tree node score equals the sum of its subtree, wherein a conjunctive tree node score equals the product of its subtree, and wherein each leaf node score equals one; and

for each level of the expression tree, organizing the nodes such that a right-most node has the highest score.

5. (Original) The method as recited in Claim 2, wherein the step of mapping the expression tree into an evaluation tree includes:

placing a lowest scoring leaf node as a topmost node of the evaluation tree;

placing conjunctive operations as right tree nodes;

LAW OFFICES OF
CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESS^{LLC}
1420 Fifth Avenue
Suite 2800
Seattle, Washington 98101
206.682.8100

placing disjunctive operations as left tree nodes; and
traversing the expression tree until each leaf node within the expression tree is mapped into the evaluation tree.

6. (Original) The method as recited in Claim 2, wherein the step of mapping the expression tree into the catalog includes:

- storing a first attribute in the attribute list;
- storing one or more evaluators corresponding to the first attribute in the evaluator list;
- storing one or more values corresponding to each of the first attribute evaluators in a value list;

- if any conjunctions exist, storing one or more identifiers of attribute evaluation value pairs corresponding to the first attribute value; and

- if any payloads exist, storing one or more payloads corresponding to the first attribute value.

7. (Original) The method as recited in Claim 6 further comprising repeating the steps of storing data in the attribute list, the evaluator list, the conjunction list, and the value list for any conjunction listed in the first attribute conjunction list.

8. (Original) The method as recited in Claim 6 further comprising repeating the steps of storing data in the attribute list, the evaluator list, the conjunction list, and the value list for a second attribute in the evaluation tree.

9. (Original) The method as recited in Claim 6 further comprising repeating the steps of storing data in the attribute list, the evaluator list, the conjunction list, and the value list for attribute evaluator value pairs identified the first attribute conjunction list, wherein the step of storing data in an attribute list is done on a separate catalog data structure.

10. (Original) The method as recited in Claim 6, wherein the attribute list is a master attribute list having a size less than all the possible attributes.

11. (Currently amended) The method as recited in Claim 1, wherein the step traversing the catalog to determine one or more payloads corresponding to the subset of client attributes includes:

- obtaining a first attribute from the subset of client attributes;

LAW OFFICES OF
CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESS^{LLC}
1420 Fifth Avenue
Suite 2800
Seattle, Washington 98101
206.682.8100

if the first attribute is found in the attribute list, obtaining an evaluator from the evaluator list and a value from the value list, wherein the evaluator and value form an evaluator/value set;

if the first attribute satisfies the evaluator/value set, determining whether a conjunction and a payload exist;

if a conjunction exists, repeating the steps with a corresponding attribute identified in the conjunction; and

if a payload exists, adding the payload to a master payload list.

12. (Original) The method as recited in Claim 11 further comprising repeating the steps until the last evaluator in the first attribute evaluation list is examined.

13. (Original) The method as recited in Claim 12, wherein the repeating step is done on a separate catalog data structure.

14. (Original) The method as recited in Claim 1, wherein the payload set is advertisement media and wherein the client attributes are client profile data attributes.

15-23. (Canceled)

15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24

10 Canceled
20 New claims

24. (Original) A computer-readable medium having computer-executable modules for correlating payloads with a condition statement for delivering the payload, the modules comprising:

a master attribute module for storing a list of attributes;

an evaluator module, dynamically linked to the attribute module, and containing evaluators corresponding to each attribute in the attribute list;

a value module, dynamically linked to the evaluator module, and containing values corresponding to each evaluator in the evaluation module;

a payload module, dynamically linked to the value module, and containing payload sets corresponding to each value in the value module, wherein the payload module may be empty; and

a conjunction module dynamically linked to the value module and containing conjunction sets corresponding to each value in the value module, wherein the conjunction list may be empty.

25. (Original) The computer-readable medium as recited in Claim 24 further comprising one or more attribute modules for storing additional attributes.

26. (Original) The computer-readable medium as recited in Claim 25, wherein the master attribute module list contains less than all the possible attributes.

27. (Original) The computer-readable medium as recited in Claim 25, wherein the payload set is advertisement content and when the attributes are client profile data attributes.

28. (Canceled)

29. (New) A computer-readable medium containing computer-readable instructions which when executed perform a method in a computer system for correlating a subset of attributes to one or more payloads, the method comprising:

obtaining a request for payload corresponding to a subset of client attributes;

obtaining one or more payloads, wherein each payload defines a condition statement for delivering the payload;

correlating the condition statement into a catalog, wherein the catalog includes an attribute list, an evaluator list, a value list, and a payload list;

traversing the catalog to determine one or more payloads corresponding to the subset of client attributes; and

returning the one or more payloads.

30. (New) A computer-readable medium as recited in Claim 29, wherein the step of correlating the condition statement into a catalog includes:

generating an expression tree corresponding to the condition statement;

mapping the expression tree into an evaluation tree; and

mapping the evaluation tree into the catalog.

31. (New) A computer-readable medium as recited in Claim 30 further comprising optimizing the expression tree prior to mapping the expression tree into an evaluation tree.

32. (New) A computer-readable medium as recited in Claim 31, wherein the step of optimizing the expression tree includes:

organizing the expression tree such that an attribute evaluator value expression is a leaf node and a connector is a tree node;

scoring any tree nodes, wherein a disjunctive tree node score equals the sum of its subtree, wherein a conjunctive tree node score equals the product of its subtree, and wherein each leaf node score equals one; and

for each level of the expression tree, organizing the nodes such that a rightmost node has the highest score.

33. (New) A computer-readable medium as recited in Claim 30, wherein the step of mapping the expression tree into an evaluation tree includes:

- placing a lowest scoring leaf node as a topmost node of the evaluation tree;
- placing conjunctive operations as right tree nodes;
- placing disjunctive operations as left tree nodes; and
- traversing the expression tree until each leaf node within the expression tree is mapped into the evaluation tree.

34. (New) A computer-readable medium as recited in Claim 30, wherein the step of mapping the expression tree into the catalog includes:

- storing a first attribute in the attribute list;
- storing one or more evaluators corresponding to the first attribute in the evaluator list;
- storing one or more values corresponding to each of the first attribute evaluators in a value list;
- if any conjunctions exist, storing one or more identifiers of attribute evaluation value pairs corresponding to the first attribute value; and
- if any payloads exist, storing one or more payloads corresponding to the first attribute value.

35. (New) A computer-readable medium as recited in Claim 34 further comprising repeating the steps of storing data in the attribute list, the evaluator list, the conjunction list, and the value list for any conjunction listed in the first attribute conjunction list.

36. (New) A computer-readable medium as recited in Claim 34 further comprising repeating the steps of storing data in the attribute list, the evaluator list, the conjunction list, and the value list for a second attribute in the evaluation tree.

37. (New) A computer-readable medium as recited in Claim 34 further comprising repeating the steps of storing data in the attribute list, the evaluator list, the conjunction list, and the value list for attribute evaluator value pairs identified in the first attribute conjunction list, wherein the step of storing data in an attribute list is done on a separate catalog data structure.

38. (New) A computer-readable medium as recited in Claim 34, wherein the attribute list is a master attribute list having a size less than all the possible attributes.

39. (New) A computer-readable medium as recited in Claim 29, wherein the step traversing the catalog to determine one or more payloads corresponding to the subset of client attributes includes:

- obtaining a first attribute from the subset of client attributes;

- if the first attribute is found in the attribute list, obtaining an evaluator from the evaluator list and a value from the value list, wherein the evaluator and value form an evaluator/value set;

- if the first attribute satisfies the evaluator/value set, determining whether a conjunction and a payload exist;

- if a conjunction exists, repeating the steps with a corresponding attribute identified in the conjunction; and

- if a payload exists, adding the payload to a master payload list.

40. (New) A computer-readable medium as recited in Claim 39 further comprising repeating the steps until the last evaluator in the first attribute evaluation list is examined.

41. (New) A computer-readable medium as recited in Claim 40, wherein the repeating step is done on a separate catalog data structure.

42. (New) A computer-readable medium as recited in Claim 29, wherein the payload set is advertisement media and wherein the client attributes are client profile data attributes.

43. (New) A communication medium having computer-executable modules for correlating payloads with a condition statement for delivering the payload, the modules comprising:

- a master attribute module for storing a list of attributes;

- an evaluator module, dynamically linked to the attribute module, and containing evaluators corresponding to each attribute in the attribute list;

- a value module, dynamically linked to the evaluator module, and containing values corresponding to each evaluator in the evaluation module;

- a payload module, dynamically linked to the value module, and containing payload sets corresponding to each value in the value module, wherein the payload module may be empty; and

a conjunction module dynamically linked to the value module and containing conjunction sets corresponding to each value in the value module, wherein the conjunction list may be empty.

44. (New) The communication medium as recited in Claim 43 further comprising one or more attribute modules for storing additional attributes.

45. (New) The communication medium as recited in Claim 44, wherein the master attribute module list contains less than all the possible attributes.

46. (New) The communication medium as recited in Claim 45, wherein the payload set is advertisement content and when the attributes are client profile data attributes.

47. (New) A method in a computer system for correlating a payload with a subset of attributes for selecting a payload set, the method comprising:

generating an expression tree having multiple levels corresponding to the subset of attributes;

correlating the expression tree into the catalog; and

mapping the expression tree into an evaluation tree, wherein mapping the expression tree to an evaluation tree includes:

placing a lowest scoring leaf node as the topmost node of the evaluation tree;

placing conjunctive operations as right tree nodes;

placing disjunctive operations as left tree nodes; and

traversing the expression tree until all leaf nodes in the expression tree are represented in the evaluation tree.

48. (New) The method as recited in Claim 47, wherein the step of correlating the expression tree into the catalog includes:

storing a first attribute in the attribute list;

storing one or more evaluators corresponding to the first attribute in the evaluator list;

storing one or more values corresponding to each of the one or more first attribute evaluators in the value list;

if any conjunctions exist, storing one or more identifications of attributes corresponding to the first attribute; and

if any payloads exist, storing one or more payloads corresponding to the first attribute.

REMARKS

Applicant wishes to thank the Examiner for the allowance of Claims 1-14 and 24-27. Applicant also thanks the Examiner for the conditional allowability of Claims 15-16, 20-21, and 28. Claim 15 was objected to as being an improperly written multiple dependent claim. Accordingly, Claim 15 was canceled and rewritten as new Claims 29-42. Claims 20-21 were objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim. Accordingly, Claims 20-21 have been rewritten as new Claims 47-48 to include all of the limitations of their base claim. Also, Claim 28 was objected to as being an improperly written multiple dependent claim. Accordingly, Claim 28 was canceled and rewritten as new Claims 43-46.

Claims 17-19 and 22-23 have been canceled without prejudice and may be pursued by applicants in a later-filed application. Applicants submit that all of the pending claims of the present application are in condition for allowance.

Respectfully submitted,


CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR
JOHNSON KINDNESS^{PLLC}



Clint J. Feekes
Registration No. 51,670
Direct Dial No. 206.695.1633

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service in a sealed envelope as first class mail with postage thereon fully prepaid and addressed to Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on the below date.

Date: 5/14/04



CJF:tmm/nfs